

2005 North Carolina Teen Pregnancies

	Total Pregnancies, 15-19-year-olds	Rate per 1,000
NORTH CAROLINA	18,259	61.7
ALAMANCE	337	64.2
ALEXANDER	64	61.8
ALLEGHANY	11	44.2*
ANSON	68	84.4
ASHE	34	57.5
AVERY	22	47.5
BEAUFORT	86	68.9
BERTIE	53	86.3
BLADEN	77	78.8
BRUNSWICK	164	69.0
BUNCOMBE	395	58.0
BURKE	181	64.0
CABARRUS	273	54.8
CALDWELL	175	75.8
CAMDEN	9	31.5*
CARTERET	106	63.9
CASWELL	40	58.5
CATAWBA	302	62.7
CHATHAM	96	59.5
CHEROKEE	32	54.5
CHOWAN	42	90.9
CLAY	15	72.8*
CLEVELAND	226	65.7
COLUMBUS	145	85.4
CRAVEN	235	82.4
CUMBERLAND	812	69.8
CURRITUCK	33	46.3
DARE	64	67.7
DAVIDSON	295	62.0
DAVIE	62	56.8
DUPLIN	138	82.0
DURHAM	552	64.7
EDGECOMBE	170	93.5
FORSYTH	768	66.5
FRANKLIN	107	58.4
GASTON	424	66.8
GATES	30	83.3
GRAHAM	13	60.2*
GRANVILLE	102	67.5
GREENE	57	95.5
GUILFORD	869	50.6
HALIFAX	169	91.4
HARNETT	212	54.6
HAYWOOD	84	54.7
HENDERSON	186	75.5
HERTFORD	96	103.6
HOKE	107	72.7
HYDE	11	88.7*
IREDELL	270	59.4

*Technical Note: Rates based on small numbers (fewer than 20 cases) are unstable and should be interpreted with caution.

2005 North Carolina Teen Pregnancies

	Total Pregnancies, 15-19-year-olds	Rate per 1,000
JACKSON	51	29.9
JOHNSTON	287	64.2
JONES	15	45.5*
LEE	162	93.1
LENOIR	178	98.4
LINCOLN	125	57.8
MCDOWELL	96	72.7
MACON	54	68.7
MADISON	26	39.0
MARTIN	57	73.4
MECKLENBURG	1,584	57.5
MITCHELL	22	50.3
MONTGOMERY	62	76.7
MOORE	137	60.9
NASH	196	65.7
NEW HANOVER	328	51.2
NORTHAMPTON	65	100.9
ONSLow	485	83.6
ORANGE	154	21.5
PAMLICO	26	86.1
PASQUOTANK	135	91.0
PENDER	78	55.5
PERQUIMANS	30	83.6
PERSON	82	75.4
PITT	305	44.4
POLK	18	35.5*
RANDOLPH	280	62.6
RICHMOND	141	93.8
ROBESON	475	99.9
ROCKINGHAM	200	71.5
ROWAN	324	70.7
RUTHERFORD	143	72.7
SAMPSON	144	70.7
SCOTLAND	112	85.3
STANLY	132	66.0
STOKES	78	57.1
SURRY	120	55.4
SWAIN	40	95.7
TRANSYLVANIA	41	48.0
TYRRELL	9	97.8*
UNION	296	56.8
VANCE	162	110.4
WAKE	1,134	42.4
WARREN	42	70.7
WASHINGTON	35	83.9
WATAUGA	35	13.3
WAYNE	326	78.2
WILKES	113	57.2
WILSON	194	76.9
YADKIN	66	56.4
YANCEY	21	43.6

**Technical Note: Rates based on small numbers (fewer than 20 cases) are unstable and should be interpreted with caution.*